

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CYMEYON HILL,

Plaintiff,

v.

MCGEFFEN, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:22-cv-01524-DAD-JDP (PC)

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION DUE TO  
PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO PAY FILING  
FEE

Plaintiff Cymeyon Hill is a civil detainee proceeding *pro se* in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On March 7, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* be denied because he had sufficient funds in his trust account to pay the required filing fee in full, and that he be ordered to pay the required \$402.00 filing fee in order to proceed with this action. (Doc. No. 12.) On July 22, 2023, the undersigned adopted those findings and recommendations, denied plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and ordered plaintiff to pay the \$402 filing fee in full within thirty (30) days. (Doc. No. 14.) Plaintiff was cautioned that his failure to comply with that order and pay the required filing fee within the specified time would result in dismissal of this action. (*Id.* at 2.)


1 To date, plaintiff has not paid the required filing fee or requested an extension of time to  
2 do so, and the deadline to pay the required filing fee has now passed.<sup>1</sup>

3 Accordingly,

- 4 1. This action is dismissed without prejudice due to plaintiff's failure to pay the  
5 required filing fee; and
- 6 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: October 3, 2023

  
9 DALE A. DROZD  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

---

26 <sup>1</sup> On July 31, 2023, plaintiff filed a copy of the court's July 22, 2023 order with an  
27 indecipherable handwritten note indicating, apparently, that plaintiff rejects the court's "offer for  
28 subject matter jurisdiction." (Doc. No. 15.) This filing by plaintiff has no bearing on this order  
dismissing this action due to plaintiff's failure to pay the required filing fee.